EPJ manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Scrutinizing the pion condensed phase

Stefano Carignano[1], Luca Lepori[2,3], Andrea Mammarella[1], Massimo Mannarelli[1], and Giulia Pagliaroli[1,4]

[1] INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, Via G. Acitelli, 22, I-67100 Assergi (AQ), Italy
[2] Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Universitá di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy
[3] Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche e Chimiche, Universitá dell'Aquila, via Vetoio, I-67010 Coppito-L'Aquila, Italy
[4] Gran Sasso Science Institute, Viale Francesco Crispi, 7, L'Aquila, Italy

arXiv:1610.06097v1 [hep-ph] 19 Oct 2016

Received: date / Revised version: date

Abstract. When the isospin chemical potential exceeds the pion mass, charged pions condense in the zero-momentum state forming a superfluid. Chiral perturbation theory provides a very powerful tool for studying this phase. However, the formalism that is usually employed in this context does not clarify various aspects of the condensation mechanism and makes the identification of the soft modes problematic. We re-examine the pion condensed phase using different approaches within the chiral perturbation theory framework. As a first step, we perform a low-density expansion of the chiral Lagrangian valid in the normal phase and close to the onset of the Bose-Einstein condensation. We obtain an effective theory that can be mapped to a Gross-Pitaevskii Lagrangian in which, remarkably, all the coefficients depend on the isospin chemical potential. The low-density expansion becomes unreliable deep in the pion condensed phase. For this reason, we develop an alternative field expansion deriving a low-energy Lagrangian analog to that of quantum magnets. By integrating out the heavy modes we obtain a soft Lagrangian in terms of the Nambu-Goldstone bosons arising from the breaking of the pion number symmetry. Finally, we test the robustness of the second-order transition between the normal and the pion condensed phase when next-to-leading-order chiral corrections are included. We determine the range of parameters for turning the second-order phase transition into a first-order one, finding that the currently accepted values of these corrections are unlikely to change the order of the phase transition.

PACS. 11.30.Rd Chiral symmetries – 12.39.Fe Chiral Lagrangians – 67.25.D Superfluid phase

1 Introduction

Systems with nonvanishing isospin chemical potential, μ_I, are very good playgrounds for gaining insight on quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Indeed, different approaches can be used to study them with significant overlap. The properties of matter at vanishing temperature as a function of μ_I have been firmly established by chiral perturbation theory (χPT), see [1,2]. At the same time, at not too large μ_I, lattice QCD simulations of pions (and kaons) are feasible [3,4,5,6,7,8], Nambu-Jona Lasinio (NJL) models can be used in a wider range of isospin chemical potential [9, 10,11,12,13,14,15] and random matrix models have been developed as well [16,17]. Perturbative methods have been used in [18] for addressing a region outside the realm of χPT, but possibly reachable by future lattice QCD simulations. Finite temperature effects were also considered in several works [15,19,20,21,22]. Non-homogeneous phases at finite isospin densities have been studied in [23,24,25, 26]. Clearly, all of these models have a drawback. For example, present lattice simulations at $\mu_I \gtrsim 2m_\pi$ have large errors and NJL model results depend on the parameter sets employed.

The isospin chemical potential has several effects on hadronic matter. Not only it induces a Zeeman-like mass splitting within isomultiplets, but it can also rotate the quark-antiquark condensate. The latter phenomenon is called pion condensation, because it is characterized by the breaking of the $U(1)$ global symmetry corresponding to the conservation of the pion number and the occurrence of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) of pions. Since condensed pions are charged, the system is a superfluid of charged particles, that is, an electromagnetic superconductor [27]. Using the leading order (LO) χPT Lagrangian it was found that at $\mu_I = m_\pi$ a second order phase transition between the normal and the pion-condensed (πc) phase occurs.

In this work we will use a realization of χPT that includes only pions [28,29,30,31], meaning that we will restrict ourselves $|\mu_I| < m_\rho \sim 770$ MeV. We also assume that the strange quark chemical potential is so small that it does not allow the appearance of kaons. The present analysis can be easily extended to the kaon condensed phase, see for example the discussion in [32]. Since we only deal with mesons, the baryonic chemical potential μ_B does not appear in the Lagrangian. We shall implicitly assume

that μ_B is below the nucleon mass, see for example the discussion in [2] and in [27].

From the χPT perspective, the possibility of comparing its results with those obtained using different methods would allow for a tuning of its parameters and lead to a better understanding of the ground state and the low-energy properties of the system. As a remarkable example, in the lattice QCD simulations of [5] it was found that the ratio between the energy density and the Stefan-Boltzmann energy density has a peak at $\mu_I^{\rm peak} \simeq 1.27 m_\pi$. In [32] it has been shown that this peak structure can be accurately described using the LO χPT Lagrangian, with an analytic result for the peak position $\mu_I^{\rm peak} = (\sqrt{13}-2)^{1/2} m_\pi \simeq 1.27\, m_\pi$. This peak seems to be related with the saturation of the pion condensate. Moreover, we have indications from the LO χPT Lagrangian that the system should make a smooth crossover from the BEC phase to a Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) phase at $\bar{\mu}_I \simeq \sqrt{3} m_\pi$, in agreement with the NJL findings of [15], giving $\bar{\mu}_I \sim 1.6 - 2\, m_\pi$, depending on the parameter set considered.

Although the χPT expansion is extremely powerful for the determination of the ground state properties and of the low-lying excited states, the physical interpretation of the πc phase is, in our opinion, not so clear. One of the reasons is that for $\mu_I = 0$ the charged pions are charge conjugate fields. Turning on the isospin chemical potential explicitly breaks this symmetry, therefore the identification of the physical states is cumbersome. The situation becomes even more complicated in the πc phase, in which the Lagrangian takes a non trivial expression and the low-lying states are given by complicated combinations of the pion fields, see [27].

In order to shed some light on the πc phase, we will provide different expansions of the χPT Lagrangian, aiming at a more accessible physical interpretation of known results obtained at $T = 0$. In particular, we will rewrite the Lagrangian in forms similar to those obtained in condensed matter systems or in the study of superfluid phenomena. In the context of weakly interacting bosonic systems, various different approaches can be used, see [33] for a review. In the normal phase and close to the BEC onset we derive a low-density expansion having exactly the form of a Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation. This approach is similar to the one developed in standard dilute bosonic systems [33] considering a $\sqrt{na^3}$ expansion, where n is the number density and a is the s-wave scattering length. We name it the low-density expansion because, as we will see, the tree-level $2 \to 2$ scattering amplitude tends to a constant nonvanishing value at the BEC phase transition point. Therefore, the actual control parameter in the πc phase is the density of pions in the condensate. Remarkably, both the effective chemical potential, the effective mass and the $2 \to 2$ scattering amplitude of the GP Lagrangian obtained by the low-density expansion depend on μ_I. This identification clarifies one of the reasons why the description of the broken phase is complicated: by changing μ_I, all of these quantities simultaneously change. For this part of the discussion we will restrict ourselves to leading-order results, but next-to-leading order terms in the low-density expansion can be straightforwardly determined.

For larger values of μ_I, the low-density expansion breaks down. The basic reason is that the system is no more dilute, with a large number of pions occupying the ground state. In order to gain insight on the properties of the system we provide an alternative description of the broken phase, similar to the low-energy description of quantum magnets. We identify two different excitations of the broken phase: the radial, or Higgs, mode corresponding to amplitude fluctuations of the pion condensate, and the phase oscillation of the condensate corresponding to the massless Nambu-Goldstone boson (NGB). This mode is associated with the breaking of the global $U(1)$ symmetry related to the pion number conservation and is also known in condensed matter physics as the Anderson-Bogoliubov mode; it can also be interpreted as the quasiparticle associated with the propagation of pressure perturbations, thus we sometimes call it the phonon. We find a soft Lagrangian similar to the Heisenberg model for quantum magnets, in which isospin plays the role of spin in condensed matter. Therefore, the pion condensed phase can be thought as an ordered magnetic phase with isospin aligned along the μ_I direction.

Finally, we scrutinize the effect of the next-to-leading-order (NLO) chiral corrections in the static Lagrangian. In particular, we determine the range of the NLO corrections necessary for changing the order of the phase transition between the normal phase and the πc phase. The condition for having a first order phase transition lies outside the range of values of the currently accepted NLO corrections, thus a second order phase transition likely occurs between the normal phase and the πc phase. However, lattice QCD simulations could possibly be realized using values of the parameters leading to a first order phase transition.

The present paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we summarize some of the most interesting properties of the πc phase at vanishing temperature obtained in the literature. In Sec. 3 we present a low-energy effective theory valid close to the normal phase-BEC phase transition. In Sec. 4 we provide an alternative description of the broken phase valid within the χPT validity range. In Sec. 5 we consider the effect of the NLO corrections in the static Lagrangian. We draw our conclusions in Sec. 6. In the Appendix we speculate on the existence of self-bound pion stars.

2 Brief summary of the standard χPT results on the pion-condensed phase

The $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ χPT Lorentz-invariant Lagrangian describing the interaction of pions with an external vector field, v_μ, can be written as follows [2,28,31]

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \mathrm{Tr}(D_\nu \Sigma D^\nu \Sigma^\dagger) + \frac{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2}{4} \mathrm{Tr}(\Sigma + \Sigma^\dagger)\,, \quad (1)$$

where

$$D_\mu \Sigma = \partial_\mu \Sigma - \frac{i}{2}[v_\mu, \Sigma]\,, \tag{2}$$

is the covariant derivative and Σ is an $SU(2)$ matrix collecting the pion fields. Any explicit expression for Σ will correspond to a given parameterization of the pion fields, although results for physical observables are independent of the parameterization of Σ used [34,35,36]. We will exploit this freedom to develop different expressions of the chiral Lagrangian. In the standard description, see for example [2], the pion degrees of freedom are introduced by considering

$$\Sigma = u\bar{\Sigma}u \qquad \text{with} \qquad u = e^{i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}/2}\,, \tag{3}$$

where φ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are real scalar fields, σ_i are the Pauli matrices and

$$\bar{\Sigma} = e^{i\boldsymbol{\alpha}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \cos\alpha + i\boldsymbol{n}\cdot\boldsymbol{\sigma}\sin\alpha\,, \tag{4}$$

is the most general $SU(2)$ vacuum, with α and $\boldsymbol{n}$ variational parameters to be determined by maximizing the static Lagrangian. The low-energy constants (LECs) f_π and m_π in Eq. (1) correspond to the pion decay constant and to the pion mass, respectively. These LECs can be related to microscopic quantities of the underlying quark model, see for example [28,29,30,31]. We assume that all three pions have exactly the same mass at vanishing chemical potential. The isospin chemical potential can be introduced as the time component of the external vector field, that is

$$v_\mu = \mu_I \delta_{\mu 0}\sigma_3\,, \tag{5}$$

and is considered as a tunable parameter in the grand-canonical approach. Clearly, it breaks Lorentz-boost invariance and isospin symmetry, because it indicates a privileged reference frame as well as a direction in isospin space.

In the normal phase $\alpha = 0$, meaning that $\bar{\Sigma} = I$ and the only effect of introducing μ_I is a Zeeman-like energy splitting proportional to the isospin charge. Thus, the charged pion fields corresponding to

$$\pi_\pm = \frac{\varphi_1 \mp i\varphi_2}{\sqrt{2}}\,, \tag{6}$$

have effective masses

$$m_{\pi_\pm} = m_\pi \mp \mu_I\,, \tag{7}$$

and since the neutral pion field $\pi^0 = \varphi_3$ has vanishing isospin, it follows that $m_{\pi^0} = m_\pi$. In the following we will assume for definiteness $\mu_I \geq 0$ and we will use the adimensional quantity

$$\gamma = \frac{\mu_I}{m_\pi}\,, \tag{8}$$

as control parameter to characterize the strength of the isospin chemical potential.

In principle, a system of pions decays into leptons. Therefore, in order to perform a study like ours, one typically neglects electroweak interactions (usually by considering time scales much shorter than their characteristic one). However, let us emphasize that for $\mu_I > m_\pi - m_e$, where m_e is the electron mass, the effective m_{π_+} is so small that it cannot decay into leptons [27]. The corresponding pion number, N_{π_+}, is thus conserved even when including electroweak interactions. However, at $\gamma = 1$ the π_+ becomes massless and the $U(1)$ symmetry corresponding to N_{π_+} conservation is spontaneously broken: the system becomes a superfluid. Since the condensed bosons are electrically charged, the resulting phase is actually a superconductor [27]. This symmetry breaking mechanism can be described by maximizing the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ ground state Lagrangian. For $\gamma > 1$, the energetically favored phase is characterized by $\cos\alpha = 1/\gamma^2$, meaning that the large isospin chemical potential has changed the property of the vacuum.

The identification of the ground state having $\alpha \neq 0$ with a superfluid is based on several facts that we briefly review. It is possible to show that the chiral condensate is rotated to a pion condensate, more specifically [2]

$$\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = \langle \bar{d}d \rangle \propto \cos\alpha\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\langle \bar{d}\gamma_5 u + \text{h.c.} \rangle \propto \sin\alpha\,, \tag{10}$$

where u and d are up and down quarks respectively (color and spinorial indices have been suppressed). A nonvanishing pion condensate implies that the vacuum does not annihilate the isospin charge. The condensed pions contribute to the total pressure and density of the system. Since we are considering vanishing temperatures, these contributions are due to the occupation of the zero energy state by a macroscopic number of particles. The normalized pressure (obtained subtracting the vacuum pressure) and the number density are respectively given by [1,2]

$$P = \frac{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2}{2}\gamma^2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right)^2, \qquad n_I = f_\pi^2 m_\pi \gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^4}\right), \tag{11}$$

leading to the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ equation of state [32]

$$\epsilon(P) = -P + 2\sqrt{P(2f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 + P)}\,. \tag{12}$$

The isospin number density, which is equivalent to the electric charge one, exactly corresponds to the number density of particles in the ground state.

Regarding the excitations, it can be shown that there exists a flat direction of the potential, which is a typical feature of the BEC phase because it is associated with the existence of NGBs. Indeed, by a variational procedure it is possible to show that the unit vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ in Eq. (4) has to be orthogonal to the direction taken by the vector field v_μ in isospin space [27]. This residual $O(2)$ isospin symmetry corresponds to the flat direction of the potential. The low-energy excitations are given by two orthogonal combinations of the pion fields; the corresponding dispersion laws can be found in [27]. The dispersion law of the NGB

mode is given by

$$E = \frac{m_\pi}{\sqrt{2}\gamma}\sqrt{3+\gamma^4+2p^2\gamma^2/m_\pi^2-\sqrt{(3+\gamma^4)^2+16\gamma^2p^2/m_\pi^2}}\,, \tag{13}$$

which can be expanded in two different regimes:

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2m_\pi} \qquad \text{for } \gamma = 1\,, \tag{14}$$

$$E = p\sqrt{\frac{\gamma^4-1}{\gamma^4+3}} + \mathcal{O}(p)^3 \qquad \text{for } \gamma > 1 \tag{15}$$

indicating that this is indeed a gapless mode. This mode seems to interpolate between two different excitations. For $\gamma = 1$ it has a quadratic dispersion law because the speed of sound

$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^4-1}{\gamma^4+3}}\,, \tag{16}$$

vanishes at the phase transition point. Therefore this mode describes the π_+ that becomes massless at the phase transition point. For $\gamma > 1$, it describes a mode propagating with the speed of sound (which can as well be obtained from the equation of state in Eq. (12)) and should therefore correspond to the phonon.

Although the above procedure turns out to be correct, it does not actually illustrate the symmetry breaking mechanism in detail. As we will see, the procedure for obtaining the ground state includes the nonperturbative interactions of the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian and this is one of the reasons why the result looks cumbersome. Moreover, the soft Lagrangian for the NGB is difficult to identify, because the massless mode is a combination of the two charged ones. Finally, in the standard description of the broken phase there is still a massive mode, which should be integrated out in order to obtain the soft Lagrangian. In the following section we will discuss the symmetry breaking mechanism using a low-energy expansion, valid in the normal phase and close to the BEC phase transition, which has a more intuitive interpretation. In Sec. 4 we present a method for obtaining the soft Lagrangian for any γ within the χPT range.

3 Low energy description of the normal phase-BEC phase transition

The $U(1)$ symmetry breaking corresponding to the violation of the pion number conservation can be described by considering the standard expression of the chiral fields

$$\Sigma = e^{i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\,, \tag{17}$$

but assuming a nonzero vacuum expectation value of one of the charged fields. Since the π_0 will not play any role, we restrict our analysis to the charged fields, meaning that we will only consider the φ_1 and φ_2 components. By expanding the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian in Eq. (1) including terms up to φ^4, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = {} & f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 + i f_\pi^2 \mu_I(\pi_-\partial_0\pi_+ - \pi_+\partial_0\pi_-) + f_\pi^2\,(\partial_\nu\pi_+\partial^\nu\pi_-) \\ & - f_\pi^2(m_\pi^2-\mu_I^2)\pi_+\pi_- + \frac{f_\pi^2}{6}(m_\pi^2-4\mu_I^2)(\pi_+\pi_-)^2 + \dots\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the pion fields have the same expression reported in Eq. (6) and we have neglected derivative terms $\mathcal{O}(\varphi^3)$ and higher. The presence of terms coupling π_+ and π_- fields makes the study of the Lagrangian complicated. However, at low energies we can restrict the analysis to the terms with the lowest derivative power, leading to a further simplified soft-pion Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} = {} & f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 + i f_\pi^2 \mu_I(\pi_-\partial_0\pi_+ - \pi_+\partial_0\pi_-) - f_\pi^2\,(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\pi_+\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\pi_-) \\ & - f_\pi^2(m_\pi^2-\mu_I^2)\pi_+\pi_- + \frac{f_\pi^2}{6}(m_\pi^2-4\mu_I^2)(\pi_+\pi_-)^2\,.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

From this, one obtains the following equations of motion for the $\pi_\pm$ fields:

$$\begin{aligned}\pm 2 i f_\pi^2\mu_I\partial_0\pi_\mp = {} & -f_\pi^2\nabla^2\pi_\mp + f_\pi^2(m_\pi^2-\mu_I^2)\pi_\mp \\ & - \frac{1}{3}f_\pi^2(m_\pi^2-4\mu_I^2)(\pi_+\pi_-)\pi_\mp\,,\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

showing that π_+ corresponds to the low-energy particle state and π_- to the low energy antiparticle one. As already noted in [37], there is only one independent degree of freedom, because in the low energy limit π_+ and π_- are conjugate fields. For any $\mu_I > 0$ we can define

$$\psi = \sqrt{2 f_\pi^2\mu_I}\,\pi_+\,, \tag{21}$$

thus Eq. (19) takes the form of a Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GP} = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 + i\psi^*\partial_0\psi + \mu_{\rm eff}\,\psi^*\psi - \frac{g}{2}|\psi^*\psi|^2 + \psi^*\frac{\nabla^2}{2M}\psi\,, \tag{22}$$

with

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = \frac{\mu_I^2-m_\pi^2}{2\mu_I}\,, \qquad g = \frac{4\mu_I^2-m_\pi^2}{12 f_\pi^2\mu_I^2}\,, \qquad M = \mu_I\,, \tag{23}$$

the relevant GP coefficients. It is quite striking to see the very nontrivial effect of the isospin chemical potential. Not only it changes the effective chemical potential, but it also changes the boson-boson coupling and the coefficient of the Laplacian operator.

Since the mode is bosonic, the effective chemical potential in the unbroken phase must be nonpositive, and indeed this happens for $\mu_I \le m_\pi$. For $\mu_I = m_\pi$ the effective chemical potential vanishes. Whether this condition corresponds to the onset of the BEC regime depends on the sign of the effective interaction. For attractive interactions the system should collapse, while for repulsive ones it becomes superfluid. The interaction coupling becomes positive for $\mu_I > m_\pi/2$, thus the interaction between the ψ fields is repulsive and the system is expected to turn into a BEC when the effective chemical potential vanishes. It is

quite remarkable that before reaching the transition point, the system tunes itself to have a repulsive boson-boson interaction. It might be of interest to study within this GP model what happens at a small nonvanishing temperature for $\mu_I < m_\pi/2$, corresponding to a system in which few thermal excitations interact with a negative scattering length. We postpone this study to future work.

From Eq. (22), we have the potential

$$V(n) = \mu_{\rm eff}\, n - \frac{g}{2} n^2 \,, \tag{24}$$

where $n = \psi^* \psi$. The ground state number density is obtained from $\partial V/\partial n = 0$, leading to

$$n = 6 f_\pi^2 m_\pi \gamma \frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{4\gamma^2 - 1} \,, \tag{25}$$

where γ has been defined in Eq. (8). Close to the BEC phase transition we can write

$$\gamma = 1 + \varepsilon \tag{26}$$

with $\varepsilon \ll 1$, and we obtain the approximate expression

$$n = 4\varepsilon f_\pi^2 m_\pi \,. \tag{27}$$

The adimensional parameter ε in Eq. (26) corresponds in cold atoms experiments to the quantity $x = n\, a^3$, with a being the s-wave scattering length of the bosonic atoms or molecules involved in the condensate [38]. In particular, the condition $x \ll 1$ assures the validity of the GP description. Since the neglected terms in Eq. (18) are of order $(\psi^* \psi)^3 \propto \epsilon^3$, it follows that the obtained approximation is only valid at the leading order in ϵ. Indeed, the ground state number density in the broken phase is given by Eq. (11), which agrees with Eq. (27) at the leading order in ε. This expansion is therefore a low-density expansion, and is expected to breakdown for large n, or more precisely, for ε of order unity. Physically, the low-density expansion makes sense because close to the πc phase transition the number density of particles is arbitrarily small, thus interactions involving higher order terms are suppressed.

Close to the phase transition point, the normalized pressure is given by

$$P = \frac{g}{2} n^2 = 2\varepsilon^2 f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \,, \tag{28}$$

which agrees with the result reported in Eq. (11) at the leading order in ε. We thus conclude that close to the normal phase-BEC phase transition, the system can be approximated by the low-density expansion of the chiral Lagrangian that can be mapped to the GP Lagrangian of Eq. (22) having

$$\mu_{\rm eff} = \varepsilon \,, \qquad g = \frac{1}{4 f_\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon \right) \,, \qquad M = m_\pi (1 + \varepsilon) \,, \tag{29}$$

and the resulting pressure and ground state number density are respectively

$$P = 2\varepsilon^2 f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \,, \qquad n = 4\varepsilon f_\pi^2 m_\pi \,. \tag{30}$$

Regarding the excitations, the equation of motion takes the form of a GP equation

$$i\partial_0 \psi = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu_I} \psi - \mu_{\rm eff}\, \psi + g |\psi|^2 \psi \,, \tag{31}$$

and neglecting interactions, the dispersion law of the ψ mode is given by

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{p^2}{2\mu_I} - \mu_{\rm eff} \,, \tag{32}$$

which already includes the effect of the effective chemical potential. Thus, the mode is gapless with a quadratic dispersion law at $\gamma = 1$, matching the result obtained in the previous section, see Eq. (14), if one expands for small ϵ. Within the GP framework it is also possible to obtain and to better understand the linear dispersion mode given in Eq. (15). Since in the broken phase the interaction is repulsive, the low-energy excitation is a Bogolyubov mode, or NGB, with dispersion law

$$\epsilon_{\rm NGB}(p) = p \sqrt{\frac{ng}{\mu_I}} = p\sqrt{\varepsilon} \,, \tag{33}$$

which agrees with the result reported in Eq. (16) considering the expansion in Eq. (26).

The two different limits of the dispersion law reported in Eqs. (14) and (15), do actually correspond to different modes: the first one is the quasiparticle mode becoming massless at the phase transition point, while the second one is the long-wavelength fluctuations corresponding to longitudinal compression mode, which exists because the boson-boson interaction is repulsive.

The second-order phase transition can therefore be described by the standard GP Lagrangian for sufficiently small values of ε. It is maybe of interest the fact that at the leading order the effective coupling depends only on $1/f_\pi^2$. Moreover, the strength of the interaction increases with μ_I. This is the expected behavior for a system evolving towards a BCS phase. Unfortunately, the BEC-BCS crossover is expected to happen at $\gamma \simeq \sqrt{3}$, see [32], and it is therefore outside the range of the low-density expansion.

4 Alternative description of the pion condensed phase

In the previous section we have clarified that the pion condensation mechanism can be mapped into a GP Lagrangian, which however should include an infinite number of terms for μ_I much larger than m_π. Therefore, we should find a different way for treating the system in that regime. The important aspect is that in the broken phase, where $\gamma > 1$, there still exists a massive degree of freedom, which has to be integrated out in order to obtain the soft Lagrangian. Clearly, one has first to identify this massive mode.

Let us use the following field definition

$$\Sigma = e^{i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \cos\rho + i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\sin\rho\,, \tag{34}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \rho\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$, thus ρ describes the radial coordinate, or Higgs field, and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ is a unit vector field, such that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = 1$. This field decomposition can be thought as obtained from Eq. (4) by promoting α and $\boldsymbol{n}$ to dynamical fields. Since $\boldsymbol{n}$ is a unit vector, the field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ corresponds to only one independent degree of freedom describing the fluctuations of this unit vector. As we will see, this fluctuation can be identified with NGB of the broken phase. We notice that the present procedure resembles closely the one exploited to derive low-energy effective models of $(1+1)d$ quantum magnets, such as the Heisenberg-type ones [40, 41,42,43,44]. The reason behind this analogy is that although pions are pseudoscalar particles, they have isospin $I = 1$. In particular, the charged pions have $I_3 = \pm 1$ and are therefore analogues to magnets in isospin space. Thus, the system can be thought as an isospin quantum magnet and the broken phase corresponds to an ordered magnetic phase, with quantum isospins aligned along one particular direction in isospin space.

Upon substituting Eq. (34) in Eq. (1), we obtain the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\left(\partial^\mu\rho\partial_\mu\rho + \sin^2\rho\,\partial^\mu\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\partial_\mu\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\right. \tag{35}$$

$$\left. -2m_\pi\gamma\sin^2\rho\,\epsilon_{3ik}\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_i\partial_0\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_k\right) - V(\rho)\,, \tag{36}$$

where

$$V(\rho) = -f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2\left(\cos\rho + \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\sin^2\rho\right) \tag{37}$$

is the potential. Remarkably, this expression of the $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ Lagrangian is not obtained by making any expansion in the fields. The potential does not depend on the field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$, showing that, at least at this order, the field $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ does not have non-derivative couplings, as appropriate for NGBs. Clearly, this result is due to the residual $O(2)$ symmetry corresponding to the flat direction of the potential.

The stationary point of the potential can be obtained by solving

$$\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\bar\rho} = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \bar\rho = \arccos\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\,, \tag{38}$$

with $\bar\rho$ corresponding to a minimum of V for $\gamma > 1$. Upon substituting this expression in Eq. (37) one obtains the same expression of the pressure reported in Eq. (11).

For the radial fluctuations, we define $\rho = \bar\rho + \chi$ and we have that

$$V(\chi) = \frac{f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2}{\gamma^2}\left(-\frac{1+\gamma^4}{2} + \frac{\gamma^4-1}{2}\chi^2\right) + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3)\,, \tag{39}$$

showing that indeed the χ field has a mass term with the correct sign. On the other hand, neglecting the radial fluctuations, the Lagrangian for the $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}$ field turns out to be

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}} = \frac{f_\pi^2\sin^2\bar\rho}{2}(\partial^\mu\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}\partial_\mu\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} - 2m_\pi\gamma\epsilon_{3ik}\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_i\partial_0\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_k)\,. \tag{40}$$

To make contact with the standard expression of the NGB Lagrangian we can make a further variable change,

$$\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2}{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_1}\right) = \arctan\left(\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2^2}}\right) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2^3)\,, \tag{41}$$

where in the last equality we have conveniently assumed that the condensate is oriented along the 1-direction in isospin space and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_2$ is a small fluctuation. The soft Lagrangian now reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2\sin^2\bar\rho}{2}\partial^\mu\theta\partial_\mu\theta\,, \tag{42}$$

where we have neglected a total derivative. The field θ is the genuine NGB, or phonon, or Anderson-Bogoliubov mode, because it is the phase associated with the rotation of the condensate. This mode is only present in the broken phase, in which $\bar\rho \neq 0$, therefore it appears at the phase transition point. Note that the propagation velocity of this mode is not equal to the sound speed c_s reported in Eq. (16), but it is equal to 1. This result depends on the fact that we have completely neglected the interaction of the phonon with the background, or more precisely, with the radial fluctuations. The Lagrangian that includes all the quadratic fluctuations can be obtained from Eqs. (35) and (39), leading to

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\left(\partial_\mu\chi\partial^\mu\chi + \sin^2\bar\rho\,\partial_\mu\theta\partial^\mu\theta - 2\mu_I\sin(2\bar\rho)\chi\partial_0\theta\right.$$

$$\left. - m_\pi^2\frac{\gamma^4-1}{\gamma^2}\chi^2\right)\,, \tag{43}$$

where we have neglected total derivative terms. Integrating out the χ field one obtains the nonlocal Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\sin^2\bar\rho\left(\partial_0\theta\frac{1}{\frac{\gamma^4-1}{\gamma^4+3}+\Box}\partial_0\theta - \boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta\right)\,, \tag{44}$$

that only depends on the θ field. The symbol $\Box$ labels the d'Alembert operator. Since we are including only terms up to $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, we have that the soft Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f_\pi^2}{2}\frac{\gamma^4+3}{\gamma^4}(\partial_0\theta\partial_0\theta - c_s^2\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta\boldsymbol{\nabla}\theta)\,, \tag{45}$$

with the speed of sound given by the same expression reported in Eq (16). Clearly, it is now possible to determine the self-interaction terms of the θ field inverting Eq. (41) and substituting the corresponding expression in Eq. (35).

5 NLO corrections

We now explore a different issue. An important result obtained using the LO chiral Lagrangian is that there is a second order phase transition between the normal phase and the πc phase. This means, among other things, that

the chiral condensate is continuously rotated into the pion one, see Eqs. (9) and (10). However, the fact that the rotation is continuous does not seem to rely on any physical reason, meaning that an abrupt tilting in some direction in isospin space by an increasing μ_I would have been a plausible *a priori* possibility. Consider, for example, that the phase transition between the kaon condensed phase and the pion condensed phase triggered by an increasing μ_I is of the first order [2].

To explore the robustness of the second-order transition we include the NLO χPT corrections

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_4 = & L_1 \left\{\mathrm{Tr}[D_\mu \Sigma (D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger]\right\}^2 \\ & + L_2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[D_\mu \Sigma (D_\nu \Sigma)^\dagger\right] \mathrm{Tr}\left[D^\mu \Sigma (D^\nu \Sigma)^\dagger\right] \\ & + L_3 \mathrm{Tr}\left[D_\mu \Sigma (D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger D_\nu \Sigma (D^\nu \Sigma)^\dagger\right] \\ & + 2L_4 m_\pi^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[D_\mu \Sigma (D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger\right] \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Sigma\right) \\ & + L_5 m_\pi^2 \mathrm{Tr}\left[D_\mu \Sigma (D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger (\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma)\right] \\ & + L_6 m_\pi^4 \left[\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Sigma^\dagger + \Sigma\right)\right]^2 + L_7 m_\pi^4 \left[\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Sigma^\dagger - \Sigma\right)\right]^2 \\ & + L_8 m_\pi^4 \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Sigma^2 + (\Sigma^\dagger)^2\right) + 2H_2 m_\pi^4 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where L_i, with $i = 1, \ldots, 8$, and H_2 are the relevant LECs, see for example [31]. These LECs encode properties of the underlying quark theory and they can be evaluated by different methods [31]. Upon substituting Eq. (4) in $\mathcal{L}_4$ we obtain the following expression for the static NLO Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{NLO}} = & f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \Big(\cos\alpha + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} \sin^2\alpha \\ & + 2a\gamma^4 \sin^4\alpha + 4b\gamma^2 \sin^2\alpha \cos\alpha + 8c \cos^2\alpha + 2d \Big) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where

$$a = \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}(2L_1 + 2L_2 + L_3) \,, \qquad b = \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}(2L_4 + L_5) \tag{48}$$

$$c = \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}(2L_6 + L_8) \,, \qquad d = \frac{m_\pi^2}{f_\pi^2}(H_2 - 2L_8) \,, \tag{49}$$

are the relevant combinations of LECs and where γ is given in Eq. (8). Then, by taking $\alpha = 0$ we obtain

$$P_0 = f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \left(1 + 8c + 2d\right) \,, \tag{50}$$

the NLO expression of the pressure in the normal phase. It is convenient to subtract this quantity from Eq. (47), obtaining the normalized NLO Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{stat}}^{\mathrm{NLO}} = & f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 (1 - z) \Bigg(\frac{\gamma^2}{2}(1 + z) + 2a\gamma^4 (1 - z^2)(1 + z) \\ & - 1 + 4b\gamma^2 z (1 + z) - 8c(1 + z) \Bigg) \,, \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where $z = \cos\alpha$. The stationary condition for the Lagrangian is obtained by solving the cubic equation

$$\gamma^2 z - 1 + 8a\gamma^4 (1 - z^2) z + 4b\gamma^2 (3z^2 - 1) - 16cz = 0 \,, \tag{52}$$

considering the appropriate value of γ. The first order phase transition is obtained when two roots are equal and satisfy $0 \leq z \leq 1$. This happens if at the transition point

$$8a\gamma_c^4 - 12b\gamma_c^2 + 8c > \frac{\gamma_c^2}{2} \,, \tag{53}$$

where γ_c is the value of the γ parameter at the phase transition point. The effect of the NLO correction is to change the value of γ for which the phase transition takes place. Since the various coefficients are roughly of the same order of magnitude and b enters with a minus sign in Eq. (53), let us consider

$$t = a = -b = c \,, \tag{54}$$

and conduct the analysis only in terms of this parameter. Clearly, a more refined analysis can be done, however, given the present poor knowledge of the LECs, it seems to us more appropriate to conduct a qualitative study in terms of one single variable.



Fig. 1. Effect of the NLO χPT terms on the critical value for the phase transition between the normal phase and the πc phase. The NLO effects are parametrized by $t = a = -b = c$, where a, b and c are the combinations of LECs given in Eqs. (48) and (49). The solid black line corresponds to the critical value of $\gamma = \mu_I/m_\pi$. The dashed blue line corresponds to the rescaled value of γ, see Eq. (55). The dotted vertical red line indicates the onset of the first order phase transition.

In Fig. 1 we report the value of γ_c, solid black line, as a function of t. The vertical dotted line corresponds to the onset of the first order phase transition. Therefore, the second order phase transition turns into a first order phase transition for $t \gtrsim 0.017$. Before discussing the phase transition in more detail, let us notice that for a proper description of the critical value of the isospin chemical potential, one should consider the NLO corrections to the pion mass as well, meaning that the order parameter should be rescaled as follows

$$\gamma \to \gamma \frac{m_\pi}{m_{\pi,4}} \,, \tag{55}$$

with

$$m_{\pi,4} = m_\pi \sqrt{1 + 24t} \,, \tag{56}$$

the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ value of the pion mass in which we have neglected logarithmic corrections.



Fig. 2. Lagrangian density as a function of the variational parameter $z = \cos\alpha$ considering two different values of t, see Eq. (54). The different lines correspond to values of γ close to the phase transition between the normal phase and the pion condensed phase. The dotted (red) lines correspond to $\gamma = \gamma_c + 0.001$, the solid (black) lines correspond to $\gamma = \gamma_c$ and the dashed (blue) lines have been obtained with $\gamma = \gamma_c - 0.001$. The maximum of $\mathcal{L}^{\rm NLO}_{\rm stat}$ corresponds to the NLO pressure of the system. Left panel: results obtained taking $t = 0.018$, the first order phase transition leads to a change $\Delta z \simeq 0.05$ of the tilting angle of the pion condensate. Right panel: results obtained taking $t = 0.02$, the first order phase transition corresponds to a change $\Delta z \simeq 0.15$ of the pion condensate.

In Fig. 1 the critical value of the rescaled order parameter is shown with a dashed line, showing that the shift obtained including NLO chiral corrections is very mild, of the order of few percents at most. Unfortunately, the LECs are poorly known, however they are expected to be of order of 10^{-3} [31], meaning that $t \sim 10^{-3} m_\pi^2/f_\pi^2$. The phase transition should therefore be of second order even including the NLO corrections.

In order to better understand the effect of a first order phase transition, we report in Fig. 2 the plot of the static Lagrangian for $t = 0.018$, left panel, and for $t = 0.02$ right panel. We consider three values of γ: below the phase transition point (dashed line), at the phase transition point (solid line) and in the pion condensed phase (dotted line). The pressure of the system corresponds to the maximum of the static Lagrangian and is obviously a continuous function of γ. However, the tilting angle α of the ground state, see Eq. (4), is discontinuous. This is accompanied by a discontinuity in the number density with a jump $\Delta n_I \propto \sqrt{\Delta z}$. Present lattice QCD simulations, see [5], use $m_\pi = 390$ MeV, but do not seem to show any jump in n_I at the phase transition point. It might be of interest to implement lattice QCD simulations with a larger value of the pion mass. In this case the physical value of the phase transition point does not change much, according to the dashed line in Fig. 1, however the phase transition might turn to be of the first order type, because a larger pion mass implies a larger t.

6 Conclusions

The properties of matter at nonvanishing isospin chemical potential are quite interesting and in some aspects highly non-trivial. In particular, if the isospin chemical potential exceeds the pion mass, the pion condensation phenomenon happens. We have shown that the formation of the pion condensate can be described by a standard GP Lagrangian, in which all the coefficients depend on μ_I. This is one of the reasons why the description of the condensation mechanism looks complicated. The GP approximation breaks down deep in the pion condensed phase, because it corresponds to a low-density approximation and the number density of pions in the ground state grows with μ_I. For this reason we have obtained a different expansion of the χPT Lagrangian, in which the identification of the massless NGB is more direct than in the standard approach. This new approach leads to a Lagrangian similar to the one used for describing quantum magnets, with the isospin playing the role of the spin in condensed matter systems. The broken phase can be identified with an ordered magnetic phase in which the isospins are aligned along the direction of the isospin chemical potential. Indeed, the isospin chemical potential enters in the chiral Lagrangian as an external source, pretty much as the magnetic field enters in the Lagrangian of a quantum magnet. A positive chemical potential leads to the alignment of π_+ mesons, that corresponds to a $\langle\pi_+\rangle$ condensate. On the other hand, a negative chemical potential aligns the π_- mesons, corresponding to a $\langle\pi_-\rangle$ condensate.

Finally, we have tested the order of the phase transition between the normal phase and the pion condensed phase including NLO chiral corrections. For standard values of the LECs the transition remains of the second order, but an intriguing possibility is that lattice QCD simulations with a very large pion mass might observe a first order phase transition. Moreover, more refined lattice QCD simulations could be used to determine the combinations of the LECs that appear in the NLO chiral Lagrangian.

Appendix: Self-bound pion stars

Since in the πc phase one of the charged pions is stable [27], we speculate on the possible existence of stars consisting of condensed pions. We will assume a weak first

order phase transition between the normal phase and the πc phase, meaning that we focus on the region $t \gtrsim 0.017$, see Sec. 5, probably corresponding to unphysical values for the LECs.

This system, if sufficiently cold, will be self-bound and it would not spread as a gas even if it is so small to be gravitationally unbound. The resulting stellar object would be a particular type of Bose star. Bose stars are stellar objects consisting of a large number of bosons in which the boson wave-function varies inside the star, see for example [45]. For noninteracting bosons with mass m, the maximum mass of a Bose star has been determined in [46] and turns out to be $M_{\max} = 0.633/(Gm)$, where G is the gravitational constant. For self-interacting bosons, the maximum mass can be larger, as shown in [47] for axion stars. Certainly, Bose stars can only exist if a stable boson exists.

Let us assume that matter in the πc phase is produced by some astrophysical event. Since it is self-bound it will not evaporate and can possibly accrete matter capturing positrons, converting them in π_+ and ejecting neutrinos. In other words, a small number of pions produced with a certain asymmetric mechanism in such a way that there are, say, much more π_+ than π_- (meaning that $\mu_I > 0$) may become larger and larger if the π_+ condense. Indeed, if this chunk of matter is sufficiently cold, the π_+ will form a condensate and will not decay in leptons [27]. On the other hand the π_- do not condense and will quickly decay, mainly in muons and corresponding neutrinos [27]. However, we expect that the system does not eject electrically charged particles because the electrons produced by the muon decay will be bound by the electromagnetic force.

Note that the neutrality condition for this peculiar system reads

$$n_I = n_e \,, \tag{57}$$

where n_e is the electron number density. At $T = 0$ the process $e^- + \pi_+ \to \nu_e$ cannot happen because all pions are in the condensate, corresponding to a state of zero momentum. However, at $T > 0$, part of the π_+ are in excited states and can be annihilated by electrons in neutrinos. These neutrinos will certainly escape leading to a cooling of the stellar object and a related reduction of the excited π_+. For this reason we can conveniently consider the $T = 0$ case, meaning that no quasiparticle thermal excitation is present.

If the system is sufficiently big it can certainly gravitationally capture neutral particles, like neutrons or atoms, but in the following we will assume the simplified scenario that it only consists of e^- and condensed π_+.

Solving the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equation for pions in the πc phase neutralized by electrons one can determine the mass-radius sequence. Since matter is self-bound we expect a mass-radius trajectory similar to that of strange-stars [48], see for example [49]. The maximum mass of these stellar objects depends on the various parameters of the pion pressure. As a preliminary result we have found that using $m_\pi = 130$ MeV, $f_\pi = 91$ MeV and $t = 0.02$, masses up to few times the solar mass with radii of tens of kilometers can be formed. Further analysis is ongoing and we expect to report on this topic in the future.

References

1. D. Son, M.A. Stephanov, Phys.Rev.Lett. **86**, 592 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0005225
2. J. Kogut, D. Toublan, Phys.Rev. **D64**, 034007 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0103271
3. M.G. Alford, A. Kapustin, F. Wilczek, Phys.Rev. **D59**, 054502 (1999), arXiv:hep-lat/9807039
4. J. Kogut, D. Sinclair, Phys.Rev. **D66**, 034505 (2002), arXiv:hep-lat/0202028
5. W. Detmold, K. Orginos, Z. Shi, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 054507 (2012), arXiv:1205.4224
6. W. Detmold, K. Orginos, M.J. Savage, A. Walker-Loud, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 054514 (2008), arXiv:0807.1856
7. P. Cea, L. Cosmai, M. D'Elia, A. Papa, F. Sanfilippo, Phys. Rev. **D85**, 094512 (2012), arXiv:1202.5700
8. G. Endrődi, Phys. Rev. **D90**(9), 094501 (2014), arXiv:1407.1216
9. D. Toublan, J. Kogut, Phys.Lett. **B564**, 212 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0301183
10. A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, G. Pettini, L. Ravagli, Phys.Rev. **D69**, 096004 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0402104
11. A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, G. Pettini, L. Ravagli, Phys.Rev. **D71**, 016011 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0410250
12. D. Ebert, K.G. Klimenko, Eur. Phys. J. **C46**, 771 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0510222
13. D. Ebert, K.G. Klimenko, J. Phys. **G32**, 599 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0507007
14. L.y. He, M. Jin, P.f. Zhuang, Phys.Rev. **D71**, 116001 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0503272
15. L. He, Phys. Rev. **D82**, 096003 (2010), arXiv:1007.1920
16. B. Klein, D. Toublan, J. Verbaarschot, Phys.Rev. **D72**, 015007 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0405180
17. T. Kanazawa, T. Wettig, JHEP **10**, 55 (2014), arXiv:1406.6131
18. T. Graf, J. Schaffner-Bielich, E.S. Fraga, Phys. Rev. **D93**(8), 085030 (2016), arXiv:1511.09457
19. M. Loewe, C. Villavicencio, Phys.Rev. **D67**, 074034 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0212275
20. M. Loewe, C. Villavicencio, Phys.Rev. **D70**, 074005 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0404232
21. T. Xia, P. Zhuang (2014), arXiv:1411.6713
22. M. Loewe, A. Raya, C. Villavicencio (2016), arXiv:1610.05751
23. D. Ebert, N.V. Gubina, K.G. Klimenko, S.G. Kurbanov, V.C. Zhukovsky, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 025004 (2011), arXiv:1102.4079
24. S. Carignano, E.J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, L. Paulucci, Phys. Rev. **D92**(10), 105018 (2015), arXiv:1505.05094
25. D. Nowakowski, M. Buballa, S. Carignano, J. Wambach, in *Proceedings, Compact Stars in the QCD Phase Diagram IV (CSQCD IV): Prerow, Germany, September 26-30, 2014* (2015), arXiv:1506.04260
26. P. Adhikari, J.O. Andersen (2016), arXiv:1610.01647
27. A. Mammarella, M. Mannarelli, Phys. Rev. **D92**(8), 085025 (2015), arXiv:1507.02934
28. J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **158**, 142 (1984)
29. H. Leutwyler, Annals Phys. **235**, 165 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9311274

30. G. Ecker, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. **35**, 1 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9501357
31. S. Scherer, Adv.Nucl.Phys. **27**, 277 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0210398
32. S. Carignano, A. Mammarella, M. Mannarelli, Phys. Rev. **D93**(5), 051503 (2016), arXiv:1602.01317
33. J.O. Andersen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 599 (2004), arXiv:cond-mat/0305138
34. J.S.R. Chisholm, Nucl. Phys. **26**(3), 469 (1961)
35. S. Kamefuchi, L. O'Raifeartaigh, A. Salam, Nucl. Phys. **28**, 529 (1961)
36. S. Weinberg, Physica **A96**, 327 (1979)
37. T. Schäfer, D.T. Son, M.A. Stephanov, D. Toublan, J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Phys. Lett. **B522**, 67 (2001), arXiv:hep-ph/0108210
38. F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L.P. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999)
39. J. Kogut, M.A. Stephanov, D. Toublan, J. Verbaarschot, A. Zhitnitsky, Nucl.Phys. **B582**, 477 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/0001171
40. F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1153 (1983)
41. F.D.M. Haldane, Physics Letters A **93**(9), 464 (1983)
42. I. Affleck, Nucl. Phys. **B257**, 397 (1985)
43. F.D.M. Haldane, J. Appl. Phys. **57**, 3359 (1985)
44. I. Affleck, **Les Houches 1988, Session 49**, 563 (1988)
45. T.D. Lee, Y. Pang, Phys. Rept. **221**, 251 (1992)
46. J.D. Breit, S. Gupta, A. Zaks, Phys. Lett. **B140**, 329 (1984)
47. E. Braaten, A. Mohapatra, H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 121801 (2016), arXiv:1512.00108
48. C. Alcock, E. Farhi, A. Olinto, Astrophys.J. **310**, 261 (1986)
49. M. Mannarelli, G. Pagliaroli, A. Parisi, L. Pilo, Phys.Rev. **D89**, 103014 (2014), arXiv:1403.0128